



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,685	33,685 08/20/2001		Jason F. Hunzinger	09752-113001	2063	
27572	7590	12/02/2005		EXAMINER		
HARNESS P.O. BOX 8	•	PIERCE, P.L.C	HASHEM, LISA			
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2645		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/933,685	HUNZINGER, JASON F.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lisa Hashem	2645		

	Lisa Hashem		2645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover s	heet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN C	ONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an otice of Appeal (with	amendment, a n appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the an SIX MONTHS from . ONLY CHECK BOX (the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding a atutory period for reply o	mount of the fee. originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or s w);	search (see NO	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 				the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	I21. See attached N):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitte	d in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-63.			rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections and was not earlied	ons under appe er presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of th	ie claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	•			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	OVID	IO ESCALANT	re	
Lisa Hashon	WIE	NT EXAMINE	A ecalante	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Chen discloses the claimed limitation, '... evaluating the probability that two or more base stations (ex: BS1-BS3) identified using respective pilot pseudo noise offset measurements (col. 4, lines 6-10) are within range (ex: a particular cell, C1) and have a pilot signal receivable by a mobile station concurrently (see Abstract), thereby confirming a valid identification of the two or more base stations (Figs. 3, 4; col. 2, lines 1-3; col. 3, line 32 - col. 4, line 9)...' as cited in independent claims 8, 22, 36, and 50.